

IMV Institut für
Medienverantwortung
UG (haftungsbeschränkt)
Goethestraße 6
91054 Erlangen
Fon +49 9131 933 277-8
Fax +49 9131 933 277-9
www.medienverantwortung.de
info@medienverantwortung.de

Press Release, 8.04.2010

Statement of Dr. Sabine Schiffer in Response to the Appeal Filed by the
Nuremberg Office of Public Prosecutions against Her Acquittal

There Are Good Reasons to Pursue Further Inquiries

Following the clear acquittal handed down by the Erlangen judge on 24 March 2010, the Nuremberg prosecutor has filed an appeal. This means that the proceedings concerning the police officer's misdirected shot during the killing of Marwa El-Sherbini and the statements made in an interview on the subject will be going into the next round. Because Prosecutor Jutta Schmiedel thus insists on arguing that a false statement of fact was made, the continuation of the proceedings does at least offer the possibility of further examining the facts of the Dresden case. Because the unidentified officer of the Federal Police [Bundespolizei] was not admitted as a witness, this has thus far not been possible in my case.

As is made clear by the statement I read in court, the need remains to analyse the causes of the shot the police officer mistakenly fired at Elwi Okaz. Furthermore, there are other unanswered questions related to the events and possible negligence in the proceedings held in Dresden on 1 July 2009. The partially contradictory media reporting, as well as the partially contradictory press releases of the Dresden Landgericht [District Court] raise, at a minimum, the following issues: The issues surrounding the Japanese combat knife as the murder weapon and the evidence found during a search of the murderer's flat, the uncertain number of Bundespolizei officers present, the behaviour of the others in the room, as well as the issue of warning signs, such as the letter the murderer wrote before the crime, being ignored (some of these matters were already raised by other journalists, and are summarised here: www.1001-idee.eu under "Medien" [Media]).

My considerations were condensed in the statement read in court, which can be found on our website under the heading "Prozess" [Trial], into the following question: Would a Muslim who had made similar statements to those made by Alexander Wiens be allowed into the courthouse with a rucksack and without being searched?

For more on this, see our Youtube channel:

<http://www.youtube.com/SabineSchiffer>

Please feel free to contact us for additional information.

Sincerely,

Dr. Sabine Schiffer

Institute Management